BET and D50: Read Surface Area Correctly

RUIKANG Li (Neo)

Hongkong Kannry Industrial Co., Ltd. | www.kannrybio.com

Abstract. Read BET surface area together with particle size and pretreatment conditions. This note uses an idealized geometry comparison to identify questions about porosity and aggregation. Gas-accessible area is not automatically the area available for reaction in a cement liquid.

Keywords: BET; specific surface area; D50; porosity; pretreatment

1. Calculate a geometric reference

For smooth, dense, nonporous spheres of one size, surface area per mass is $S = 6/(\rho d)$. With density in g/cm³ and diameter in µm, the result is in m²/g. This geometric relation is useful as a reference, not a conversion of a real broad distribution into its BET value.

Illustrative calculation: assume $\rho = 3.16$ g/cm³. A 10 µm sphere gives $S = 0.190$ m²/g; a 1 µm sphere gives 1.90 m²/g. If a powder with a reported D50 near 10 µm has BET area of 20 m²/g, the smooth-monodisperse model plainly does not describe it.

Possible contributors include much smaller primary units, internal accessible pores, roughness or a fine fraction. Pretreatment also matters to interpretation [1]. Sintering of HA microspheres has been shown to change surface area and pore volume [2], so the outer size alone cannot capture thermal-history effects.

2. Connect area with formulation behavior

2.1 Standardize sample preparation. Record sample mass, degassing temperature, duration and endpoint. Choose conditions that remove the intended adsorbates without altering the material. Do not increase degassing temperature solely to obtain a lower or more repeatable number if that changes the powder.

2.2 Avoid a false diameter. At $S = 20$ m²/g and the assumed density above, $6/(\rho S)$ gives 0.095 µm. Label this an equivalent smooth-sphere diameter derived from area, not a measured primary particle size. A porous 10 µm particle could expose substantial internal area without being a 95 nm particle.

2.3 Test the practical consequence. For a paste, compare liquid demand and handling at matched powder mass and a fixed liquid. Then examine whether a modest liquid adjustment restores workability. If it does, investigate accessible area and wetting; do not immediately conclude the chemistry has changed.

3. Development decision

Use BET, the size distribution and morphology as a combined description. Define a surface-area range only after linking it to the required processing behavior under a fixed measurement method.

Table 1. Development comparison and interpretation controls.

Observed combination	Hypothesis to test	Companion measurement
D50 stable; BET rises	More accessible fine structure	High-magnification images; isotherm
D50 stable; BET falls	Pore loss or coarsening	Thermal history and pore analysis
D50 rises; BET stable	Larger loose agglomerates	Dispersion-energy comparison
Both vary between repeats	Sampling or pretreatment	Replicate split and degassing record

Scope. The geometric calculations assume one size, no pores and the stated density. They do not identify pore shape or establish biological reactivity.

References

1. Thommes M, Kaneko K, Neimark AV, Olivier JP, Rodriguez-Reinoso F, Rouquerol J, et al. Physisorption of gases, with special reference to the evaluation of surface area and pore size distribution (IUPAC Technical Report). Pure Appl Chem. 2015;87(9-10):1051-1069. doi:10.1515/pac-2014-1117.

2. Wang AJ, Lu YP, Zhu RF, Li ST, Xiao GY, Zhao GF, et al. Effect of sintering on porosity, phase, and surface morphology of spray dried hydroxyapatite microspheres. J Biomed Mater Res A. 2008;87(2):557-562. doi:10.1002/jbm.a.31895.

Prepared by: RUIKANG Li (Neo)

Reviewed by: Alex | Technical content: Approved

Review date: