Glass Scaffold Coatings: Control Pickup and Pores

RUIKANG Li (Neo)

Hongkong Kannry Industrial Co., Ltd. | www.kannrybio.com

Abstract. Develop a scaffold coating by measuring dry pickup and the remaining pore architecture. This note distinguishes solution concentration from deposited coating and final polymer content, and outlines checks for uniformity, pore access and mechanical response.

Keywords: bioactive glass; scaffold; polymer coating; coating pickup; pores

1. Measure the coating actually retained

A 45S5 scaffold study used a zein-based coating and measured the resulting scaffold properties [1]. This is a useful architecture-specific approach: coating an existing porous body is different from mixing glass particles into a bulk polymer.

For each specimen, measure dry uncoated mass $m0$ and consistently conditioned coated mass $m1$. Pickup relative to the substrate is $100(m1 - m0)/m0$. The coating fraction of the final object is $100(m1 - m0)/m1$. Do not use these two percentages interchangeably.

Worked example: a 1.00 g scaffold becoming 1.10 g has 10.0% pickup but 9.09 wt% coating in the final object. The calculation assumes mass gain is retained coating, with no substrate loss or residual solvent. Include a solvent-only control to test that assumption.

2. Connect pickup to function

2.1 Standardize drainage and drying. Use the same immersion time, withdrawal orientation, drainage period and conditioning endpoint. Coating can pool at lower surfaces or junctions. Record pickup for individual specimens so apparently identical cycles do not hide large differences in retained material.

2.2 Inspect the pore throats. Compare equivalent regions before and after coating, including interior sections. Look for bridges across pores as well as coverage on struts. A smooth external image cannot demonstrate preserved transport through the scaffold. Use an appropriate uptake or flow measurement where access matters.

2.3 Test the relevant wet condition. Evaluate mechanical behavior after defined wet conditioning, not only immediately after drying. Record specimen dimensions and loading orientation. If improvement is accompanied by reduced accessible porosity, treat that as a design trade-off rather than an unqualified gain.

3. Development decision

Choose the minimum reproducible pickup that gives the intended surface or mechanical effect while maintaining pore access. If a second cycle mainly blocks pore throats, change solution rheology or drainage before increasing coating concentration again.

Table 1. Development comparison and interpretation controls.

Proposed arm	Purpose	Key observations
Uncoated scaffold	Architecture baseline	Mass, pores and wet strength
Solvent-only treatment	Isolate treatment effect	Mass loss and surface changes
One coating cycle	Initial coverage	Pickup and open-pore access
Additional cycle	Test added coverage	Pore bridging and variability

Scope. No antimicrobial or clinical claim follows from coating pickup. Such claims require their own formulation-specific evidence; the mass example is illustrative.

References

1. Arango-Ospina M, Lasch K, Weidinger J, Boccaccini AR. Manuka Honey and Zein Coatings Impart Bioactive Glass Bone Tissue Scaffolds Antibacterial Properties and Superior Mechanical Properties. Front Mater. 2021;7:610889. doi:10.3389/fmats.2020.610889.

Prepared by: RUIKANG Li (Neo)

Reviewed by: Alex | Technical content: Approved

Review date: