Microspheres: Settling and Suspension Design

RUIKANG Li (Neo)
Hongkong Kannry Industrial Co., Ltd. | www.kannrybio.com

Abstract. Settling calculations can help choose suspension variables for testing. This note uses an idealized particle model to examine size, density and viscosity, then identifies the checks needed for real microspheres. The calculation does not predict stability in a concentrated paste.

Keywords: microspheres; settling; suspension; density; viscosity

1. Estimate the dilute settling timescale

For an isolated sphere settling slowly in a Newtonian liquid, the Stokes estimate is $v = \Delta\rho\, g\, d^2/(18\,\mu)$, where $\Delta\rho$ is the particle-liquid density difference, d is diameter and μ is dynamic viscosity [1]. It is a screening model, not a model of a concentrated, yield-stress cement.

Worked example: assume $\Delta\rho = 2000$ kg/m^3, $\mu = 0.10$ Pa·s and $g = 9.81$ m/s^2. A 20 µm sphere gives $v = 4.36$ µm/s, equivalent to approximately 15.7 mm/h. Doubling diameter to 40 µm multiplies the estimate by four. Tenfold viscosity gives one-tenth the velocity under these assumptions.

The example directs the first experiments: compare size, liquid viscosity and rest time separately. Sintered spray-dried HA microspheres can also change surface area, pores and aggregation [2]; a common diameter does not guarantee common behavior.

2. Test the real suspension

2.1 Inspect the particle interior. Compare intact spheres with cross-sections or fractured particles. Hollow and porous spheres can have different effective density and liquid uptake from dense spheres. Determine whether mixing or extrusion breaks the spheres into a different size distribution.

2.2 Follow concentration through the container. Use a fixed fill height and record the settled layer and supernatant over the relevant handling period. Sample top and bottom to compare solids content. Visual clarity alone can miss a concentration gradient that changes the delivered composition.

2.3 Check reversibility and delivery. Apply a defined redispersion motion after the hold period, then compare first and last delivered portions. Increasing viscosity is helpful only if the suspension remains mixable and deliverable. Assess force and composition together; easy extrusion of particle-poor liquid is a failure.

3. Development decision

Use the simple model to prioritize variables, then select the formulation from measured settling, redispersion and delivery behavior. If particles fracture, qualify the post-mixing particle population as well as the original powder.

Table 1. Development comparison and interpretation controls.

Illustrative model	Calculated settling	Interpretation
20 µm; 0.10 Pa·s	15.7 mm/h	Reference model point
40 µm; 0.10 Pa·s	62.8 mm/h	Fourfold diameter-squared effect
20 µm; 1.0 Pa·s	1.57 mm/h	Tenfold viscosity effect
Concentrated paste	Do not use these values	Measure settling and yield behavior

Scope. Stokes calculations assume dilute, noninteracting spheres and low Reynolds number. They do not predict shelf life, injection safety or concentrated-paste stability.

References

1. Jillavenkatesa A, Dapkunas SJ, Lum L-SH. Particle size characterization. Gaithersburg (MD): National Institute of Standards and Technology; 2001. NIST Special Publication 960-1. doi:10.6028/NBS.SP.960-1.

2. Wang AJ, Lu YP, Zhu RF, Li ST, Xiao GY, Zhao GF, et al. Effect of sintering on porosity, phase, and surface morphology of spray dried hydroxyapatite microspheres. J Biomed Mater Res A. 2008;87(2):557-562. doi:10.1002/jbm.a.31895.

Prepared by: RUIKANG Li (Neo)

Ruikang Li

Reviewed by: Alex | Technical content: Approved

Review date: